Some Methodology Issues And Methodology Experiments in the OSA Project

Olivier DALLE¹ (parts stolen to Judicael Ribault¹)

¹ INRIA - CRISAM, University of Nice Sophia Antipolis I3S-UMR CNRS 6070, BP93 - 06903 Sophia Antipolis, France judicael.ribault@sophia.inria.fr - olivier.dalle@sophia.inria.fr

Journees SUD, Cargese, April 2010



Agenda

A selected number of issues and possible solutions:

- How to code discrete time ?
- How to Ensure Reproduce-ability, Trace-ability and Durability?
- How to Instrument a Simulation?
 - Our solution: The OSIE Framework



A selected number of issues and possible solutions:

- How to code discrete time ?
- How to Ensure Reproduce-ability, Trace-ability and Durability?
- How to Instrument a Simulation?

Our solution: The OSIF Framework



A selected number of issues and possible solutions:

- How to code discrete time ?
- How to Ensure Reproduce-ability, Trace-ability and Durability?
- How to Instrument a Simulation?
 - Our solution: The OSIF Framework



A selected number of issues and possible solutions:

- How to code discrete time ?
- How to Ensure Reproduce-ability, Trace-ability and Durability?
- How to Instrument a Simulation?
 - Our solution: The OSIF Framework



What Coding Options Do We Have?

- Floating Point Numbers?
 - 32 (1+8+23) bits ? 64 (1+11+52) bits ? 128 (1+15+112) bits?
 - Accurate?
 - SURE! 32 bits seems accurate enough for ANY duration.
- Integers ?



What Coding Options Do We Have?

- Floating Point Numbers?
 - 32 (1+8+23) bits ? 64 (1+11+52) bits ? 128 (1+15+112) bits?
 - Accurate?
 - SURE! 32 bits seems accurate enough for ANY duration.
- Integers ?
 - 32 bits ? Which unit? nano-second?
 - Yes, at least, CPU run at GHz Frequency, Network transmitters TBits/s
 - nancsec $= 10^{-9}$ sec, hence 2^{12} is not enough for more than a few seconds.



What Coding Options Do We Have?

- Floating Point Numbers?
 - 32 (1+8+23) bits ? 64 (1+11+52) bits ? 128 (1+15+112) bits?
 - Accurate?
 - SURE! 32 bits seems accurate enough for ANY duration.

Integers ?

- 32 bits ? Which unit? nano-second?
- Yes, at least, CPU run at GHz Frequency, Network transmit TBits/s,
- nancese 10⁻² sec, hence 2²⁵ is not enough for more than a few seconds.



What Coding Options Do We Have?

- Floating Point Numbers?
 - 32 (1+8+23) bits ? 64 (1+11+52) bits ? 128 (1+15+112) bits?
 - Accurate?
 - SURE! 32 bits seems accurate enough for ANY duration.
- Integers ?
 - 32 bits ? Which unit? nano-second?
 - Yes, at least, CPU run at GHz Frequency, Network transmit TBits/s, ...
 - nanosec = 10⁻⁹ sec, hence 2³² is not enough for more than a few seconds.



What Coding Options Do We Have?

- Floating Point Numbers?
 - 32 (1+8+23) bits ? 64 (1+11+52) bits ? 128 (1+15+112) bits?
 - Accurate?
 - SURE! 32 bits seems accurate enough for ANY duration.
- Integers ?
 - 32 bits ? Which unit? nano-second?
 - Yes, at least, CPU run at GHz Frequency, Network transmit TBits/s, ...
 - nanosec = 10^{-9} sec, hence 2^{32} is not enough for more than a few seconds.
 - At least 64 bits needed....
 - seems more expensive to use integers than Floats



What Coding Options Do We Have?

- Floating Point Numbers?
 - 32 (1+8+23) bits ? 64 (1+11+52) bits ? 128 (1+15+112) bits?
 - Accurate?
 - SURE! 32 bits seems accurate enough for ANY duration.
- Integers ?
 - 32 bits ? Which unit? nano-second?
 - $\bullet\,$ Yes, at least, CPU run at GHz Frequency, Network transmit TBits/s, \ldots
 - nanosec = 10^{-9} sec, hence 2^{32} is not enough for more than a few seconds.
 - At least 64 bits needed...
 - seems more expensive to use integers than Floats

What Coding Options Do We Have?

- Floating Point Numbers?
 - 32 (1+8+23) bits ? 64 (1+11+52) bits ? 128 (1+15+112) bits?
 - Accurate?
 - SURE! 32 bits seems accurate enough for ANY duration.
- Integers ?
 - 32 bits ? Which unit? nano-second?
 - Yes, at least, CPU run at GHz Frequency, Network transmit TBits/s, ...
 - nanosec = 10^{-9} sec, hence 2^{32} is not enough for more than a few seconds.
 - At least 64 bits needed...
 - seems more expensive to use integers than Floats



What Coding Options Do We Have?

- Floating Point Numbers?
 - 32 (1+8+23) bits ? 64 (1+11+52) bits ? 128 (1+15+112) bits?
 - Accurate?
 - SURE! 32 bits seems accurate enough for ANY duration.
- Integers ?
 - 32 bits ? Which unit? nano-second?
 - Yes, at least, CPU run at GHz Frequency, Network transmit TBits/s, ...
 - nanosec = 10^{-9} sec, hence 2^{32} is not enough for more than a few seconds.
 - At least 64 bits needed...
 - seems more expensive to use integers than Floats



What Coding Options Do We Have?

- Floating Point Numbers?
 - 32 (1+8+23) bits ? 64 (1+11+52) bits ? 128 (1+15+112) bits?
 - Accurate?
 - SURE! 32 bits seems accurate enough for ANY duration.
- Integers ?
 - 32 bits ? Which unit? nano-second?
 - Yes, at least, CPU run at GHz Frequency, Network transmit TBits/s, ...
 - nanosec = 10^{-9} sec, hence 2^{32} is not enough for more than a few seconds.
 - At least 64 bits needed...
 - seems more expensive to use integers than Floats

What Coding Options Do We Have?

- Floating Point Numbers?
 - 32 (1+8+23) bits ? 64 (1+11+52) bits ? 128 (1+15+112) bits?
 - Accurate?
 - SURE! 32 bits seems accurate enough for ANY duration.
- Integers ?
 - 32 bits ? Which unit? nano-second?
 - Yes, at least, CPU run at GHz Frequency, Network transmit TBits/s, ...
 - nanosec = 10^{-9} sec, hence 2^{32} is not enough for more than a few seconds.
 - At least 64 bits needed...
 - seems more expensive to use integers than Floats



Let's experiment a bit with FP numbers.

- Assume time unit is second.
- Assume after 1 hour (virtual/sim time)
 one event is scheduled after 50 nanosec
 other events start to be scheduled every nanose
 (eg. python)



Let's experiment a bit with FP numbers.

- Assume time unit is second.
- Assume after 1 hour (virtual/sim time)
 - one event is scheduled after 50 nanosec
 - other events start to be scheduled every nanosec
- (eg. python)

```
y=3600+50e=9
z=3600+0
for=1=51e zrange(50):==z=z=1..0e=90
y===3600.000000000000000
```



Let's experiment a bit with FP numbers.

- Assume time unit is second.
- Assume after 1 hour (virtual/sim time)
 - one event is scheduled after 50 nanosec
 - other events start to be scheduled every nanosec
- (eg. python)

```
y=3600+50e-9
```

```
z=3600.0
```

```
for i in xrange(50): z=z+1.0e-9
```

- $y \rightarrow 3600.000000499999$
- $z \rightarrow 3600.000000499995$
- Ok, ok, funny little glitch. But, is floating point inadequate?



Let's experiment a bit with FP numbers.

- Assume time unit is second.
- Assume after 1 hour (virtual/sim time)
 - one event is scheduled after 50 nanosec
 - other events start to be scheduled every nanosec
- (eg. python)



Let's experiment a bit with FP numbers.

- Assume time unit is second.
- Assume after 1 hour (virtual/sim time)
 - one event is scheduled after 50 nanosec
 - other events start to be scheduled every nanosec
- (eg. python)

```
y=3600+50e-9
z=3600.0
for i in xrange(50): z=z+1.0e-9
y → 3600.0000000499999
z → 3600.000000499995
```

Let's experiment a bit with FP numbers.

- Assume time unit is second.
- Assume after 1 hour (virtual/sim time)
 - one event is scheduled after 50 nanosec
 - other events start to be scheduled every nanosec
- (eg. python)

What is Time Used for in Simulations?

- Numerical Evaluation of Duration
 - duration = end start
 - Is Accuracy of Time/Dates Important Here?
 - Not so much: duration +/- 0.0000005% is probably fine...

• Order Sequence of Events



What is Time Used for in Simulations?

- Numerical Evaluation of Duration
 - duration = end start
 - Is Accuracy of Time/Dates Important Here?
 - Not so much: duration +/- 0.0000005% is probably fine...
- Order Sequence of Events

 f_1 happens at t = T1, f_2 happens at t = T2, T2 > T11

Is accuracy of Time/Dates Important Here? YEST TITE // AMAX be larger than T2A //A

Violates the Sequential Order

NS-2 claims the events are processed FIFO. This is a WR9NG stationed.



What is Time Used for in Simulations?

- Numerical Evaluation of Duration
 - duration = end start
 - Is Accuracy of Time/Dates Important Here?
 - Not so much: duration +/- 0.0000005% is probably fine. . .
- Order Sequence of Events
 - E_1 happens at t = T1, E_2 happens at t = T2, T2 > T1
 - Is accuracy of Time/Dates Important Here?
 - MEST T1 +/- c MAY be larger than T2 +/- c
 - Violates the Sequential Order
 - Eg. NS-2 claims tie events are processed FIFO
 - This is a WRONG statement



What is Time Used for in Simulations?

- Numerical Evaluation of Duration
 - duration = end start
 - Is Accuracy of Time/Dates Important Here?
 - Not so much: duration +/- 0.0000005% is probably fine...
- Order Sequence of Events
 - E₁ happens at t = T1, E₂ happens at t = T2, T2 > T1
 Hence, obviously (!), E₁ happens before E₂.
 - Is accuracy of Time/Dates Important Here?
 - YES! T1 +/- ϵ MAY be larger than T2 +/- ϵ
 - Violates the Sequential Order
 - Eg. NS-2 claims tie events are processed FIFO
 - This is a WRONG statement



What is Time Used for in Simulations?

- Numerical Evaluation of Duration
 - duration = end start
 - Is Accuracy of Time/Dates Important Here?
 - Not so much: duration +/- 0.0000005% is probably fine...
- Order Sequence of Events
 - E_1 happens at t = T1, E_2 happens at t = T2, T2 > T1
 - Hence, obviously (!), E_1 happens before E_2
 - Is accuracy of Time/Dates Important Here?
 - YES! T1 +/- ϵ MAY be larger than T2 +/- ϵ . . .
 - Violates the Sequential Order
 - Eg. NS-2 claims tie events are processed FIFO
 - This is a WRONG statement



What is Time Used for in Simulations?

- Numerical Evaluation of Duration
 - duration = end start
 - Is Accuracy of Time/Dates Important Here?
 - Not so much: duration +/- 0.0000005% is probably fine...
- Order Sequence of Events
 - E_1 happens at t = T1, E_2 happens at t = T2, T2 > T1
 - Hence, obviously (!), E_1 happens before E_2 .
 - Is accuracy of Time/Dates Important Here?
 - YES! T1 +/- ϵ MAY be larger than T2 +/- ϵ \ldots
 - Violates the Sequential Order
 - Eg. NS-2 claims tie events are processed FIFO
 - This is a WRONG statement



What is Time Used for in Simulations?

- Numerical Evaluation of Duration
 - duration = end start
 - Is Accuracy of Time/Dates Important Here?
 - Not so much: duration +/- 0.0000005% is probably fine...
- Order Sequence of Events
 - E_1 happens at t = T1, E_2 happens at t = T2, T2 > T1
 - Hence, obviously (!), E_1 happens before E_2 .
 - Is accuracy of Time/Dates Important Here?
 - YES! T1 +/- ϵ MAY be larger than T2 +/- ϵ . . .
 - Violates the Sequential Order
 - Eg. NS-2 claims tie events are processed FIFO
 - This is a WRONG statement



What is Time Used for in Simulations?

- Numerical Evaluation of Duration
 - duration = end start
 - Is Accuracy of Time/Dates Important Here?
 - Not so much: duration +/- 0.0000005% is probably fine...
- Order Sequence of Events
 - E_1 happens at t = T1, E_2 happens at t = T2, T2 > T1
 - Hence, obviously (!), E_1 happens before E_2 .
 - Is accuracy of Time/Dates Important Here?
 - YES! T1 +/- ϵ MAY be larger than T2 +/- ϵ . . .
 - Violates the Sequential Order
 - Eg. NS-2 claims tie events are processed FIFO
 - This is a WRONG statement



What is Time Used for in Simulations?

- Numerical Evaluation of Duration
 - duration = end start
 - Is Accuracy of Time/Dates Important Here?
 - Not so much: duration +/- 0.0000005% is probably fine...
- Order Sequence of Events
 - E_1 happens at t = T1, E_2 happens at t = T2, T2 > T1
 - Hence, obviously (!), E_1 happens before E_2 .
 - Is accuracy of Time/Dates Important Here?
 - YES! T1 +/- ϵ MAY be larger than T2 +/- ϵ . . .
 - Violates the Sequential Order
 - Eg. NS-2 claims tie events are processed FIFO
 - This is a WRONG statement



In an ideal world:

- Results of experiments are published
- Publication was reviewed
- Reviewers were able to reproduce experiments
- Colleagues are able to reproduce experiments

• When a bug is found in the code of an experiement



In an ideal world:

- Results of experiments are published
- Publication was reviewed
- Reviewers were able to reproduce experiments
 Even after a long time
- Colleagues are able to reproduce experiments

• When a bug is found in the code of an experiement



In an ideal world:

- Results of experiments are published
- Publication was reviewed
- Reviewers were able to reproduce experiments
 - Even after a long time
- Colleagues are able to reproduce experiments
 - They are able to verify, detect flaws
 - They can work incrementally
- When a bug is found in the code of an experiement

In an ideal world:

- Results of experiments are published
- Publication was reviewed
- Reviewers were able to reproduce experiments
 - Even after a long time
- Colleagues are able to reproduce experiments
 - They are able to verify, detect flaws
 - They can work incrementally
- When a bug is found in the code of an experiement



In an ideal world:

- Results of experiments are published
- Publication was reviewed
- Reviewers were able to reproduce experiments
 - Even after a long time
- Colleagues are able to reproduce experiments
 - They are able to verify, detect flaws
 - They can work incrementally
- When a bug is found in the code of an experiement
 - We are able to identify which results are impacted.
 We know which published papers must be updated/invalidates.



In an ideal world:

- Results of experiments are published
- Publication was reviewed
- Reviewers were able to reproduce experiments
 - Even after a long time
- Colleagues are able to reproduce experiments
 - They are able to verify, detect flaws
 - They can work incrementally
- When a bug is found in the code of an experiement
 We are able to identify which results are impacted
 We know which published papers must be updated/invalidated
 Hopeless???



In an ideal world:

- Results of experiments are published
- Publication was reviewed
- Reviewers were able to reproduce experiments
 - Even after a long time
- Colleagues are able to reproduce experiments
 - They are able to verify, detect flaws
 - They can work incrementally
- When a bug is found in the code of an experiement
 - We are able to identify which results are impacted
 - We know which published papers must be updated/invalidated



In an ideal world:

- Results of experiments are published
- Publication was reviewed
- Reviewers were able to reproduce experiments
 - Even after a long time
- Colleagues are able to reproduce experiments
 - They are able to verify, detect flaws
 - They can work incrementally
- When a bug is found in the code of an experiement
 - We are able to identify which results are impacted
 - $\bullet\,$ We know which published papers must be updated/invalidated

In an ideal world:

- Results of experiments are published
- Publication was reviewed
- Reviewers were able to reproduce experiments
 - Even after a long time
- Colleagues are able to reproduce experiments
 - They are able to verify, detect flaws
 - They can work incrementally
- When a bug is found in the code of an experiement
 - We are able to identify which results are impacted
 - We know which published papers must be updated/invalidated

In an ideal world:

- Results of experiments are published
- Publication was reviewed
- Reviewers were able to reproduce experiments
 - Even after a long time
- Colleagues are able to reproduce experiments
 - They are able to verify, detect flaws
 - They can work incrementally
- When a bug is found in the code of an experiement
 - We are able to identify which results are impacted
 - We know which published papers must be updated/invalidated

Publishing a result is a process

- \bullet Start from an initial state (OS release, system config, $\dots)$
- (possibly) Build tools for experiment
- Experiment consist in multiple tasks, eg.:
 - Initial setup
 - Running experiment:
 - Gathering data
 - Processing data
 - V,V & A
 - · Generating plots, animation
 - Writing a paper
- Idea: What about formalizing experimental workflows?



Publishing a result is a process

- Start from an initial state (OS release, system config, ...)
- (possibly) Build tools for experiment
- Experiment consist in multiple tasks, eg.:
 - Initial setup
 - Running experiment

- Gathering data
- Processing data
- V,V & A
- Generating plots, animation
- Writing a paper

• Idea: What about formalizing experimental workflows?



Publishing a result is a process

- Start from an initial state (OS release, system config, ...)
- (possibly) Build tools for experiment
- Experiment consist in multiple tasks, eg.:
 - Initial setup
 - Running experiment
 - Follow experiment plan
 - Until some criteria is met. .
 - Gathering data
 - Processing data
 - V,V & A
 - Possibly loop backard.
 - Generating plots, animation
 - Writing a paper

• Idea: What about formalizing experimental workflows?



Publishing a result is a process

- Start from an initial state (OS release, system config, ...)
- (possibly) Build tools for experiment
- Experiment consist in multiple tasks, eg.:
 - Initial setup
 - Running experiment
 - Follow experiment plan
 - Until some criteria is met...
 - Gathering data
 - Processing data
 - V,V & A
 - Possibly loop backard...
 - Generating plots, animation
 - Writing a paper

• Idea: What about formalizing experimental workflows?

See for example the *myExperiment* project.



Publishing a result is a process

- Start from an initial state (OS release, system config, ...)
- (possibly) Build tools for experiment
- Experiment consist in multiple tasks, eg.:
 - Initial setup
 - Running experiment
 - Follow experiment plan
 - Until some criteria is met...
 - Gathering data
 - Processing data
 - V,V & A
 - Possibly loop backard...
 - Generating plots, animation
 - Writing a paper
- Idea: What about formalizing experimental workflows?
 - See for example the *myExperiment* project...



Publishing a result is a process

- Start from an initial state (OS release, system config, ...)
- (possibly) Build tools for experiment
- Experiment consist in multiple tasks, eg.:
 - Initial setup
 - Running experiment
 - Follow experiment plan
 - Until some criteria is met...
 - Gathering data
 - Processing data
 - V,V & A
 - Possibly loop backard...
 - Generating plots, animation
 - Writing a paper
- Idea: What about formalizing experimental workflows?
 - See for example the *myExperiment* project...



- Dependencies support management
- Archiving facilities
- Versionning, branch support
- Automation
- Most of these, we alreday have!
- But none of these is the panacea :-(
- We still have lot of work to do...:-)



- Dependencies support management
- Archiving facilities
- Versionning, branch support
- Automation
- Most of these, we alreday have!
 - Makefiles, Maven, Ant, RPM, forges, repositories,
- But none of these is the panacea :-(
- We still have lot of work to do...:-)



- Dependencies support management
- Archiving facilities
- Versionning, branch support
- Automation
- Most of these, we alreday have!
 - Makefiles, Maven, Ant, RPM, forges, repositories,
- But none of these is the panacea :-(
- We still have lot of work to do...:-)



Assuming workflows have been identified, what else do we need?

- Dependencies support management
- Archiving facilities
- Versionning, branch support
- Automation
- Most of these, we alreday have!

Makefiles, Maven, Ant, RPM, forges, repositories,

- But none of these is the panacea :-(
- We still have lot of work to do...:-)



- Dependencies support management
- Archiving facilities
- Versionning, branch support
- Automation
- Most of these, we alreday have!
 - Makefiles, Maven, Ant, RPM, forges, repositories, ...
- But none of these is the panacea :-(
- We still have lot of work to do...:-)



- Dependencies support management
- Archiving facilities
- Versionning, branch support
- Automation
- Most of these, we alreday have!
 - Makefiles, Maven, Ant, RPM, forges, repositories, ...
- But none of these is the panacea :-(
- We still have lot of work to do...:-)



- Dependencies support management
- Archiving facilities
- Versionning, branch support
- Automation
- Most of these, we alreday have!
 - Makefiles, Maven, Ant, RPM, forges, repositories, ...
- But none of these is the panacea :-(
- We still have lot of work to do...:-)

How to Instrument a Simulation? The P2P use case



- Looking for parameters effects or validation ?
 - Edit source file, add instrumentation code, recompile
 - Run simulation/experiment
 - Grab all the observed/sampled data into one place
 - Post-process data

Common Instrumentation and Statistical Analyses Usage The P2P use case

- Looking for parameters effects or validation ?
 - Edit source file, add instrumentation code, recompile
 - Run application
 - Grab all the instrumented data into one place
 - Post-process data
- Issues raised:
 - instrumentation and modeling concerns are mixed together
 - hard to maintain
 - issue when mixing with previous/other instrumentation
 - breaks model validation
 - loose some of the reuse benefits
 - bandwidth and memory overhead

The OSIF Framework

Our Proposed Solution to Improve Methodology

- Separate instrumentation concern from modeling concern
 - \implies Aspect-Oriented Programming
- Process data during the simulation runs
 - \implies COSMOS
- Reusable data processing
 - \implies COSMOS is component-based
- Compose complex instrumentation and data processing
 - \implies Architecture Description Language with multiple inheritance and overloading capability
- Validation results

 \implies use the same data processing both in simulations and experimentations



Separation of Concerns (Using Aspect-Oriented Programming)

- Paradigm for modularizing applications with many concerns
- Aspect Oriented Programming
 - Instructions are placed in separate source files
- Identify particular instructions in an existing code (pointcut)
 - To apply pre/post/replacement processings
 - $\bullet\,$ To enrich/extend existing code
- Apply aspect at compile-time or at run-time
- AOP exists for most programming languages:
 - C / C++, Java, C#, Perl, PHP, Python, Ruby, etc.
- Instrument your model and send values to COSMOS

Separation of Concerns

Aspect-oriented programming



Separation of Concerns Aspect-oriented programming

AspectJ example:



COSMOS COntext entitieS coMpositiOn and Sharing

- Component-based framework for managing context data in ubiquitous applications
- Data processing built as a graph of processing nodes
- 3 COSMOS entities: collector, processor, policy
- Based on the Fractal component framework
 - Fractal ADL allows composition by inheritance and overloading
 - Fractal-BF turns components into services



COSMOS COntext entitieS coMpositiOn and Sharing

- COSMOS context node
 - Hierarchical, with sharing
 - Parameterized
 - Passive or active
 - Observation or notification
 - Blocking or not
 - Input: message(s)
 - Output: compute new message
 - Message can contain sub-messages
 - Message chunks are typed
 - Ensure compatibility between context node



Live processing of data





Live processing of data

- COSMOS data processors and policies
 - Live analysis
 - Reduce bandwidth and memory overhead
 - Logging
 - Scave (OMNet++ post-processing tool)
 - Take into account the real topology of the simulation application and optimize the data flow



Composition





Composition

- Keep it simple
 - \implies Easier to manage and maintain
 - $\implies \mathsf{More \ chance \ of \ reuse}$
- But build complex composition easily



Real experiments processing

- COSMOS is used for context observation in smart environments
 - We succesfully use COSMOS for instrumentation and data processing in simulation
- Apply the same data processing on real experiment and simulation
 - Validation of simulation results
 - \bullet Sharing data processing \implies more confidence in validation results



Conclusion on OSIF

- Separation of concerns
 - Favor reuse of models
 - Favor comparisons across simulators and platforms
- Live processing
 - Save disk space / bandwidth
- Composition
 - Build / manage / maintain simple instrumentation and data processing
 - Reuse data processing
 - Build complex data processing by composition of processors
- Apply data processing on real experiment
 - Reuse data processing
 - Validate simulation
 - Increase confidence

Questions ? Thank you for your attention

